arXiv:1401.1073v1 [stat.ME] 6 Jan 2014

Empirical characteristic function identification of linear stochastic systems with possibly unstable zeros

László Gerencsér and Máté Mánfay

The purpose of this paper is to adapt the empirical characteristic function (ECF) method to stable, but possibly not inverse stable linear stochastic system driven by the increments of a Lévy-process. A remarkable property of the ECF method for i.i.d. data is that, under an ideal setting, it gives an efficient estimate of the unknown parameters of a given parametric family of distributions. Variants of the ECF method for special classes of dependent data has been suggested in several papers using the joint characteristic function of blocks of unprocessed data. However, the latter may be unavailable for Lévy-systems. We introduce a new, computable score that is essentially a kind of output error. The feasibility of the procedure is based on a result of Devroye on the generation of r.v.-s with given c.f. Two special cases are considered in detail, and the asymptotic covariance matrices of the estimators are given. The present work extends our previous work on the ECF identification of stable and inverse stable linear stochastic Lévy-systems, see [14].

1 Introduction

Lévy processes have been widely used to model phenomena arising in natural sciences, economics, financial mathematics, queueing theory and telecommunication [4],[5],[6]. The geometric Brownian motion, which is considered the classical model for modeling the dynamics of financial instruments, was introduced by Louis Bacehelier [1]. Although empirical studies found that the model's assumptions do not correspond with reality, this model is still the accepted core model. Recently a new model has been used to model stock dynamics, called the geometric Lévy processes obtained by taking the exponential of a Lévy process.

In this paper we present an identification method that is inspired by the so-called empirical characteristic function (ECF for short) method and the output error identification method. The ECF method, which can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of a maximum likelihood method, see [7], was first applied to estimate the unknown parameters of a characteristic function using i.i.d. samples. Carrasco and Florens showed in [8] that the ECF method gives an efficient estimator for the problem of identifying the characteristics of

a distribution that lacks a probability density function, but has a computable characteristic function (c.f.). The ECF method has been adapted to identify the noise characteristics of linear systems, but the identification of the system dynamics is typically out of the scope of papers. Among the few papers that estimate the system parameters in [9] the parameters of an ARMA process are identified using M-estimators with a given distribution on the driving noise. In [13] Schlemm and Stelzer estimates the system parameters and the covariance of the noise for Lévy-driven continuous-time ARMA processes using quasi maximum likelihood method. In [14] both the system parameters and the noise parameters are estimated and it is showed that a properly adapted ECF method estimates the system dynamics more effectively than standard methods such as prediction error and quasi maximum likelihood.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the results presented in [14] to finite dimensional stochastic Lévy systems with unstable zeros. Recall that both the PE method and the ML method as presented in [11] assume that the system is non-minimum phase, ie. it has an exponentially stable inverse. The same assumption is used in [14]. In fact, the identification of finite dimensional linear stochastic systems with unstable zeros is barely discussed in the literature. A remarkable feature of the ECF method is that is naturally applicable to the identification of finite dimensional stochastic systems if properly adapted. Our starting point is the ECF method for dependent data, as presented in the literature, using blocks of data, see [22]. This idea is then extended by defining a c.f. in terms of data passed through a possibly non-FIR filter. A novel challenge of this approach is that the exact c.f. cannot be computed explicitly (which is the key assumption for the ECF methods). However, it is found that an unbiased estimator for the exact c.f. can be obtained under the assumption that we can simulate or system with arbitrary feasible choice of the system parameters θ and noise parameter η. The latter assumption is not unrealistic in view of the procedure presented in [20].

Thus we finally arrive at a procedure which can be viewed as a statistical output error method. The actual data are compared to simulated data, and the parameters of the latter are adjusted so as to ensure a good fit in a statistical sense. The resulting method can be analyzed along the lines of the classic ECF, or rather GMM method.

In retrospect, our method also extends the classic ECF method for i.i.d. data for situations when the c.f. is not available explicitly, but we do have an unbiased estimator of it in terms of a parameter-dependent random variable, say $\xi(\eta)$, which is computable via a mechanism of the form

$$\xi(\eta) = F(\rho, \eta),$$

where F is a fixed, known function of ρ and η, and ρ is a fixed random variable with known distribution. The data are generated via a true η^* and the problem is to identify η^*. The above problem formulation is perfectly in line with the problem of system identification with ρ denoting the input noise and η^* denoting the system parameters.

2 Lévy processes

A Lévy process (Z_t) is a continuous-time stochastic process that has stationary an independent increments. Thus, the behavior of a Lévy process shows several similarities with that of the Wiener process, but the trajectories of Lévy process may be discontinuous. For an excellent introduction to the theory of Lévy processes see [2].

One of the simplest but not trivial example for a Lévy process is the compound Poisson process. It is a Poisson process with random, independent and identically distributed jumps. By extending the idea of the construction of compound Poisson processes we obtain a more general class Lévy processes, the so called pure-jump processes, formally given by

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^1} xN(ds, dx), \tag{1}$$

where $N(dt, dx)$ is a time-homogeneous, space-time Poisson point- process, that counts the number of jumps of size x at time t. A simple and elegant introduction to Poisson point-processes in a general state-space is given in [3]. A basic technical tool in the theory of Lévy processes is the Lévy measure. For pure-jump processes their Lévy measure is defined using the intensity of $N(dt, dx)$. That intensity is formally defined by $\mathbb{E}[N(dt, dx)]$, with $\mathbb{E}$ denoting expectation. Due to time homogeneity, $\mathbb{E}[N(dt, dx)]$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[N(dt, dx)] = dt \cdot \nu(dx),$$

where $\nu(dx)$ is the so-called Lévy-measure of process Z_t.

Now the above representation of a pure-jump Lévy process given in (1) is mathematically rigorous if

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^1} \min(|x|, 1)\nu(dx) < \infty. \tag{2}$$

In the area of financial time series sample paths with finite variations are obtained for most indices, as supported by empirical evidence, see [16]. In [16] such finite variation processes are obtained when modeling indices. It also worth noting that (2) implies that for all $t < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E}|Z_t| < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Since a Lévy process Z_t has independent and identically distributed increments its characteristic function can be written in the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iuZ_t}\right] = e^{t\psi(u)}.$$

Here $\psi(u)$ is called the characteristic exponent of Z_t.

3 Examples for Lévy processes in modeling

The compound Poisson process is continuous-time stochastic process defined by its rate λ and its jump size distribution F via

$$Z_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} X_i,$$

where (N_t) is a Poisson process with rate λ, and X_i-s are i.i.d. random variables with distribution F. Such processes are widely used for modeling purposes in queueing theory, for example see [21].

Geometric Lévy processes have been widely used recently to model price processes. Several Lévy process have been proposed by different authors. The α-stable process was used to price dynamics of wool by Mandelbrot in [17]. The α-stable process is defined via the Lévy measure

$$\nu(dx) = C^-|x|^{-1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{x<0}dx + C^+|x|^{-1-\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{x>0}dx, \tag{4}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 2$.

Carr, Geman, Madan and Yor in [16] argues that the so-called CGMY process is able to model several important characteristics of price dynamics. The CGMY process is also called as tempered stable process because it is obtained by setting $C^- = C^+$ in (4), and then, separately for negative and positive x-s, multiplying the Lévy-density of the original symmetric stable process with a decreasing exponential. Using standard parametrization the Lévy measure of the CGMY process is given by

$$\nu(dx) = \frac{Ce^{-G|x|}}{|x|^{1+Y}}\mathbf{1}_{x<0}dx + \frac{Ce^{-Mx}}{|x|^{1+Y}}\mathbf{1}_{x>0}dx, \tag{5}$$

where $C, G, M > 0$, and $0 < Y < 2$. Intuitively, C controls the level of activity, G and M together control skewness. Typically $G > M$ reflecting the fact that prices tend to increase rather than decrease. Y controls the density of small jumps, i.e. the fine structure. For $Y < 1$ the integrability condition (2) is satisfied, thus the corresponding Lévy process is of finite variation. The characteristic function of a CGMY process Z_t with parameters C, G, M and Y is given by

$$\exp\{tC\Gamma(-Y)\left((M-iu)^Y - M^Y + (G+iu)^Y - G^Y\right)\},$$

where Γ denotes the gamma-function.

Variance Gamma process (VG for short), which has been proposed by Madan, Carr and Chang in [18], is formally obtained by setting $Y = 0$ in (5). The VG-process is best implemented as a time changed Brownian motion with drift, where the time change is a gamma process, which is essentially the continuous time extension of the inverse of a Poisson process. Let $W_t(\theta, \sigma)$ be a Brownian motion with drift, i.e.:

$$W_t(\theta, \sigma) = \theta t + \sigma W_t,$$

where the process (W_t) is a standard Brownian motion. Let $\gamma_t(\mu, \nu)$ be a gamma process with mean μ and variance ν, i.e. $\gamma_t(\mu, \nu)$ is a stochastic process with independent gamma distributed increments. Then the VG process with parameters σ, ν and θ is defined as

$$X_t(\sigma, \nu, \theta) = W_{\gamma_t(1,\nu)}(\theta, \sigma).$$

That is, the VG process is a time-changed Brownian motion. The VG process can also be interpreted as the difference of two gamma processes.

4 ECF method for i.i.d. data

In this section we briefly describe the ECF method for i.i.d. samples with a finite number of moment conditions, see [8]. A remarkable property of the ECF method is that, under an ideal setting, it gives an efficient estimate of the unknown parameters of a given parametric family of distributions, see [8]. This observation is best justified, heuristically, by the reasoning of A. Feuerverger and P. McDunnogh, see [7], showing that the score functions of the ECF method for i.i.d. samples are obtained via the Fourier transform of the left hand side of the log-likelihood equation.

Assume that we are given an i.i.d. sequence of observations $(r_1, r_2, \dots r_N)$, such that their characteristic function is known in closed form up to an unknown q-dimensional parameter vector, say η, the true value of which is η^*. Let these characteristic functions be denoted by $\varphi(u, \eta)$. The basic idea of the ECF method is to estimate η^* by a value of $\hat{\eta}$ such that $\varphi(u, \hat{\eta})$ best matches the empirical characteristic function to be defined below. For this purpose let us take a finite set of u-s, say $u_1, ..., u_M$, with $M > q$, and for any of these u-s and any $n = 1, ..., N$ define a score, or equivalently, a generalized (normalized) moment function:

$$h_n(u, \eta) = e^{iur_n} - \varphi(u, \eta).$$

Clearly, $h_n(u, \eta)$ is a score-function in the sense that setting $\eta = \eta^*$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h_n(u, \eta^*)\right] = 0$$

for all u. The above equations constitute an over-determined system of non-linear algebraic equations with q unknowns and $M > q$ equations.

Now, let us take the sample average of the above scores and define, for any fixed u, the averaged fitting error as

$$\overline{h}(u, \eta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_n(u, \eta).$$

Now letting u vary over the finite set $u_1, ..., u_M$ we define the M-vector

$$\overline{h}(\eta) = (\overline{h}(u_1, \eta), ..., \overline{h}(u_M, \eta))^T.$$

Defining the M-vectors

$$g(\eta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\overline{h}(\eta)\right],$$

and once again note that $g(\eta^*) = 0$, and thus η^* satisfies the over-determined system of algebraic equations

$$g(\eta) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Since g is not computable we may consider an alternative, approximating equation

$$\overline{h}(\eta) = 0,$$

which will typically have no solution, since $M > q$. Therefore we seek a least-square solution by minimizing the weighted cumulative error

$$V_N(\eta) = ||K^{-1/2}\overline{h}(\eta)||^2, \tag{7}$$

where K is an appropriate, $M \times M$ weighing matrix to be chosen below.

It can be shown that this method gives an asymptotically efficient estimator of η^*, moreover a precise characterization of the estimation error can be given along the lines given in [19]. At this time we restrict ourselves to presenting a heuristics for computing the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\eta}_N$. First note that the minimization of the least squares error $V_N(\eta)$ is (almost) equivalent to setting its gradient equal to 0, yielding the following p equations:

$$\overline{h}^*_\eta(\eta)K^{-1}\overline{h}(\eta) = 0,$$

where H^* denotes the complex conjugate of the matrix H. Here we followed the convention that the gradient w.r.t. η is a row-vector, and thus $\overline{h}_\eta$ is an $M \times q$ matrix, while $\overline{h}^*_\eta$ is $q \times M$. The left hand side of the above equation can be considered as a new set of exactly q scores.

A simple heuristics shows that the random effects in $\overline{h}^*_\eta$ are negligible, and thus, defining the $M \times q$ sensitivity matrix

$$G = g_\eta(\eta^*),$$

an asymptotically equivalent problem is obtained by considering the set of q equations

$$G^*K^{-1}\overline{h}(\eta) = 0.$$

he l.h.s. can be considered as a new set of scores. Its expectation is given by

$$G^*K^{-1}g(\eta),$$

and thus the Hessian of the asymptotic cost function, equal to $\mathbb{E}\left[V_N(\eta)/N\right]$, is given by

$$T = G^*K^{-1}G.$$

In order to calculate the normalized covariance of the new set of scores note that the normalized $M \times M$ covariance matrix of $\overline{h}(\eta^*)$ is given componentwise as

$$C_{k,l} = \mathbb{E}\left[\ h^*_n(u_k, \eta^*)h_n(u_l, \eta^*)\right] =$$
$$\varphi(u_k - u_l, \eta^*) - \varphi(u_k, \eta^*)\ \varphi(-u_l, \eta^*).$$

Then the normalized covariance of the new set of scores is

$$S = G^* K^{-1} C K^{-1} G.$$

Now, following standard arguments, such as the Taylor-series expansion of $G^* K^{-1} \overline{h}(\eta)$ around $\hat{\eta}$, we get that the the asymptotic covariance matrix of the estimator $\hat{\eta}_N$ is given by

$$\Sigma_{\eta\eta} = T^{-1} S T^{-1}.$$

Substituting the expressions for T and S obtained above we get:

$$\Sigma_{\eta\eta} = (G^* K^{-1} G)^{-1} \; G^* K^{-1} C K^{-1} G \; (G^* K^{-1} G)^{-1}.$$

Simple linear algebra arguments yield that $\Sigma_{\eta\eta}$ is minimized for $K = C$ and with this choice we get that the *asymptotic covariance matrix of the estimate* $\hat{\eta}_N$ obtained by the ECF method for i.i.d. data with a finite number of moment conditions is

$$\Sigma_{\eta\eta} = (G^* C^{-1} G)^{-1}.$$

As indicated in the beginning of this section, the above procedure, with the choice $K = C$, is efficient under ideal conditions. More precisely, the ECF method presented above using the full continuum of of u-s, $-\infty < u < +\infty$, and a suitably modification of the operator $K = C$ to ensure that its inverse is a bounded linear operator, is as efficient as the ML method, see [8].

5 ECF method for filtered data

In this section we extend the ECF method to dependent data obtained by taking an i.i.d. sequence and passing it through a stable finite dimensional linear system. A practically interesting object of study is a linear stochastic system driven by a Lévy-process, or rather the increments of a Lévy-process. We write the system in the form

$$\Delta y = A(\theta^*, q^{-1}) \Delta Z, \tag{8}$$

where the time range is $-\infty < n < +\infty$. Here ΔZ_n denotes the increment of a zero mean Lévy process (Z_t) over an interval $[(n-1)h, nh)$, with $h > 0$ being a fixed sampling interval. (Z_t) itself is defined for $-\infty < t < +\infty$, and it is tied to 0 at time $t = 0$, i.e. $Z_0 = 0$. The condition

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta Z_n\right] = 0$$

significantly facilitates the analysis of the forthcoming ECF estimations methods, in analogy with the analysis of the ML method, see [11]. Although in generally not satisfied by the Lévy processes presented in Section 4, it can be enforced by preprocessing our data, as is customary in classic time series analysis.

The Lévy-measure of Z will be denoted by $\nu(dx) = \nu(dx, \eta^*)$, where η^* denotes an unknown parameter-vector with a known open range, say $D_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. The system dynamics depends on some unknown parameter-vector θ^*, taking its values from some known open set $D_\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Let D_θ^* and D_η^* be compact domains such that $\theta^* \in D_\theta^* \subset \text{int } D_\theta$ and $\eta^* \in D_\eta^* \subset \text{int } D_\eta$.

Condition 5.1 *The operator $A(\theta, q^{-1})$ is a stable, rational function of the backward-shift operator q^{-1} for all $\theta \in D_\theta$. Moreover $A(\theta, q^{-1})$ is three-times continuously differentiable w.r.t. θ for $\theta \in D_\theta$.*

The smoothness of $A(\theta, q^{-1})$ w.r.t. θ should be interpreted as follows: there exists a state-space realization of $A(\theta, q^{-1})$ such that the state-matrices are three-times continuously differentiable w.r.t θ for $\theta \in D_\theta$.

Note that we did not assume the *inverse stability* of the operator $A(\theta^*, q^{-1})$, in contrast to standard identification methods such as PE or ML. In particular, our method is suitable for the identification of moving average (MA) systems with unstable zeroes.

Condition 5.2 *We assume that for all $q \geq 1$*

$$\int_{|x|\geq 1} |x|^q \nu(dx) < +\infty. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, it is assumed that the driving noise (Z_t) is a zero mean process:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_t] = 0.$$

Note that the condition $\mathbb{E}[Z_t] = 0$ is a useful technical assumption even in the case of ML identification, see [11]. In particular, it ensures that the estimators of the system parameters and the noise parameters will be asymptotically uncorrelated.

Now we are in the position to apply the ECF method for dependent data, following the literature, in our special case. Consider the parametric family of systems (or equivalently time series)

$$\Delta y(\theta, \eta) = A(\theta)\Delta Z(\eta), \tag{10}$$

with the time n taking its values in $-\infty < n < +\infty$. Note that for $(\theta, \eta) = (\theta^*, \eta^*)$ we recover our observed data in a statistical sense. The ECF method proposed in the literature, see [22],[23], is based on the computation of the joint characteristic function of blocks of unprocessed data, i.e. for blocks of (y_n). While this computation can indeed can be carried out for special cases, such as for Gaussian or stable noise processes, the computation of the joint characteristic function is far from trivial in general. One of the main contributions of this paper is to address this challenge.

For a start, fix a block length, say r, and define the r-dimensional blocks

$$\Delta Y_n^r(\theta, \eta) = (\Delta y_{n-1}(\theta, \eta), \ldots, \Delta y_{n-r}(\theta, \eta)).$$

Then the joint characteristic function of the block $\Delta Y_n^r(\theta,\eta)$, with $u=(u_1,...,u_r)^T$ being an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{R}^r$, is given by

$$\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iu^T\Delta Y_n^r(\theta,\eta)}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\sum_{j=1}^r u_j\Delta Y_{n-j}(\theta,\eta)}\right].$$

Now, this can be explicitly computed, at least in theory. Letting $h_l(\theta)$, $l=0,1,...$ denote the impulse responses of the system $A(\theta)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{i\sum_{j=1}^r u_j\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}h_l(\theta)\Delta Z_{n-j-l}(\eta)\right\}\right]=\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{i\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Delta Z_{n-k}(\eta)\sum_{l\geq 0,j+l=k}u_jh_l(\theta)\right\}\right].\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Fix k and consider the last term. Setting $l=k-j$ introduce the notation

$$v_k(\theta)=\sum_{j=1}^r h_{k-j}(\theta)u_j,$$

with $h_l(\theta)=0$ for $l<0$. Then v is the convolution of h and u:

$$v=h*u.$$

Denoting the characteristic function of $\Delta Z_n(\eta)$, for any n, by $\varphi_{\Delta Z(\eta)}$, we get

$$\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi_{\Delta Z(\eta)}(v_k(\theta)).\tag{12}$$

Now the ECF method could be defined by fitting this theoretical joint characteristic function to the empirical joint characteristic function. Without providing details we point out that it is not clear how to use such a procedure it in actual computations, since $\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)$ is given in terms of an infinite product. To circumvent this difficulty let us return to the the definition of $\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)$. Note that a simple unbiased estimation of $\varphi_n(u,\theta,\eta)$ is given by

$$e^{iu^T\Delta Y_n^r(\theta,\eta)}=e^{i\sum_{j=1}^r u_j\Delta Y_{n-j}(\theta,\eta)}.$$

We propose to fit this theoretical vale to the data, and introduce the scores

$$h_n(u,\theta,\eta)=e^{iu^T\Delta y_n}-e^{iu^T\Delta y_n(\theta,\eta)}.\tag{13}$$

Note that the score is essentially a kind of output error. Thus the proposed procedure will be a generalization of the output error identification method for the case when actual the input process is not observed, but statistically known if η^* is known.

Note also that we can write the scores in the form

$$h_n(u,\theta,\eta) = e^{i\ (u*\Delta y)_n} - e^{i\ (u*\Delta y(\theta,\eta))_n}, \tag{14}$$

where u denotes the sequence $u_1, ..., u_r$. The advantage of this representation is that, in theory, we can use infinite sequences of u-s representing the impulse responses of a finite dimensional stable linear filter.

A final note: in order to compute the above score functions we one have to be able to generate the i.i.d. noise sequence $\Delta Z_n(\eta)$ for any given η, having a prescribed c.f. $\phi(u,\eta)$. This problem has been addressed and solved in [20].

To see the details of our procedure, suppose that we are given a sequence of observed data $\Delta y_1, \ldots, \Delta y_{N+r}$ being the outputs of (10) with $\theta = \theta^*, \eta = \eta^*$. Construct the blocks of observations $\Delta Y_n^r = (\Delta y_{n-1}, \ldots, \Delta y_{n-r})$ for each $r < n \leq N+r$. Take a set of vectors of dimension r, say $u_1, \ldots, u_M$. Define the score functions as follows

$$h_{k,n}(\theta,\eta) = e^{iu_k^T \Delta y_n} - e^{iu_k^T \Delta y_n(\theta,\eta)} \tag{15}$$

for $k = 1, ..., M$ and $n = 1, ..., N$. Note that these are indeed appropriate score functions because

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h_{k,n}(\theta^*,\eta^*)\right] = 0.$$

The sample average of the scores is defined for any fixed u_k as

$$\overline{h}_k(\theta,\eta) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=r+1}^{N+r} h_{k,n}(\theta,\eta). \tag{16}$$

Collecting the above sample averages over k we define the M-vector

$$\overline{h}(\theta,\eta) = (\overline{h}_1(\theta,\eta), ..., \overline{h}_M(\theta,\eta))^T. \tag{17}$$

Let $g(\theta,\eta)$ denote the expected error, i.e. let

$$g(\theta,\eta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\overline{h}(\theta,\eta)\right]. \tag{18}$$

Clearly $\theta = \theta^*, \eta = \eta^*$ solves the over-determined system of M equations

$$g(\theta,\eta) = 0.$$

Since g is not computable we consider the alternative, approximating equation

$$\overline{h}(\theta,\eta) = 0,$$

which will typically have no solution when $M > p+q$. Therefore we seek a least-square solution by minimizing the weighted cumulative error

$$V_N(\theta,\eta) = ||K^{-1/2}\overline{h}(\theta,\eta)||^2, \tag{19}$$

where K is an appropriate, $M \times M$ weighing matrix to be chosen below.

Instead of solving the minimization problem we define the estimated parameter vectors $\hat{\theta}_N, \hat{\eta}_N$ as the solutions of the gradient equation

$$V_{\theta N}(\theta, \eta) = 0 \tag{20}$$

$$V_{\eta N}(\theta, \eta) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Instead we concentrate on the identification of the system dynamics. Suppose that the noise characteristics is given in such a form that it makes possible the generation of $Z(\eta)$. We construct the identification procedure along the just presented idea.

6 Estimating the system dynamics

Thus, suppose now that η^* is known and we are able to generate a sequence of i.i.d. random variables statistically equivalent to $\Delta Z(\eta^*)$. With a slight abuse of notations we shall use the same notations for real and simulated noise sequences. Define the family of time-series parameterized by θ as follows:

$$\Delta y_n(\theta) = A(\theta)\Delta Z_n(\eta^*), \tag{22}$$

with $-\infty < n < +\infty$. Again for $\theta = \theta^*$ we recover our observed data in a statistical sense. The score functions are defined as

$$h_{k,n}(\theta) = e^{iu_k^T \Delta y_n} - e^{iu_k^T \Delta y_n(\theta)}. \tag{23}$$

One could easily mimic the steps of the construction of $V_N(\theta, \eta)$ to define $V_N(\theta)$. Again, fix a finite set of u-s, say $(u_1, \ldots, u_M)$. Define the average error for u_k

$$\overline{h}_k(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=r+1}^{N+r} h_{k,n}(\theta). \tag{24}$$

Let us define

$$\overline{h}(\theta) = \left(\overline{h}_1(\theta), \ldots, \overline{h}_M(\theta)\right)^T. \tag{25}$$

$g(\theta)$ denotes the expected value of $\overline{h}$:

$$g(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\overline{h}(\theta)\right] \tag{26}$$

Clearly $\theta = \theta^*$ solves the over-determined system of equations

$$g(\theta) = 0.$$

By approximating g by $\overline{h}$ we define $\hat{\theta}_N$ as the solution of

$$V_{\theta N}(\theta) = ||K^{-1/2}\overline{h}(\theta)||^2,$$

where V_N is the cost function defined by

$$V_N(\theta) = ||K^{-1/2}\overline{h}(\theta)||^2.$$

The asymptotic score function is then defined as

$$W(\theta) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[V_N(\theta)\right] = ||K^{-1/2} g(\theta)||^2.$$

Condition 6.1 *θ^* is the unique solution of $W_\theta(\theta)$ in D_θ.*

Following the arguments given [19] we get the following result:

Theorem 6.1 *Under Conditions 5.1, 5.2 and 6.1 we have*

$$\hat{\theta}_N - \theta^* = W_{\theta\theta}^{-1}(\theta^*) V_{\theta N}(\theta^*) + O_M(N^{-1}).$$

Now we are ready to calculate the asymptotic covariance of the estimator. Let Λ' be the $M \times M$ covariance matrix with entries

$$\Lambda'_{k,l} = \mathbb{E}\left[\ h^*_{k,n}(\theta^*) h_{l,n}(\theta^*)\right].$$

Theorem 6.2 *Under Conditions 5.1, 5.2 and 6.1 the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}_N$ with the optimal choice of the weighting matrix $K = \Lambda'$ is given by*

$$\Sigma_{\theta\theta} = 2(H^* \Lambda^{-1} H)^{-1},$$

where the k^{th} row of H is given by $-\varphi_\theta(u_k, \theta^*, \eta^*)$, and Λ is an $M \times M$ matrix with entries

$$\Lambda_{k,l} = \varphi(u_k - u_l, \theta^*, \eta^*) - \varphi(u_k, \theta^*, \eta^*)\varphi(-u_l, \theta^*, \eta^*).$$

Proof:
The asymptotic gradient is given by

$$g^*_\theta(\theta^*) K^{-1} g(\theta^*),$$

while its derivative w.r.t. θ at θ^* (the Hessian of the asymptotic cost) is

$$R^* = g^*_\theta(\theta^*) K^{-1} g_\theta(\theta^*).$$

Then the Hessian of the asymptotic cost is

$$T = H^* K^{-1} H.$$

Note that since Δy_n and $\Delta y_n(\theta^*)$ are independent as they are generated using different ΔZ_n sequences we have

$$\Lambda'_{k,l} = 2\left(\varphi(u_k - u_l, \theta^*, \eta^*) - \varphi(u_k, \theta^*, \eta^*)\varphi(-u_l, \theta^*, \eta^*)\right).$$

We note in passing that $\Lambda' = 2\Lambda$. Thus the asymptotic covariance of the new set of scores is

$$S = H^* K^{-1} \Lambda' K^{-1} H.$$

The asymptotic covariance of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_N$ is then

$$(H^*K^{-1}H)^{-1}\ H^*K^{-1}\Lambda' K^{-1}H\ (H^*K^{-1}H)^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that the optimal value of K is

$$K = \Lambda'$$

yielding the asymptotic covariance for $\hat{\theta}_N$

$$\Sigma_{\theta\theta} = (H^*\Lambda'^{-1}H)^{-1} = 2(H^*\Lambda^{-1}H)^{-1}.$$

Recall that $H = g^*_\theta(\theta^*)$, so that the k^{th} row of H is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{h}_k(\theta)\right]|_{\theta=\theta^*} = -\varphi_\theta(u_k, \theta^*, \eta^*).$$

Hence, using the full continuum of moment conditions would yield the asymptotic covariance presented in [8], which implies the identification method in question is efficient.

Remark: The covariance matrices $\Sigma_{\theta\theta} = 2(H^*\Lambda^{-1}H)^{-1}$ and $\Sigma_{\eta\eta} = (G^*C^{-1}G)^{-1}$ have similar structure. The rows of H and G are derivatives of the characteristic function of the observed data with respect to the unknown parameters θ and η, respectively. Both Λ and C have entries of the form

$$\varphi(u_k - u_l) - \varphi(u_k)\varphi(-u_l),$$

here φ denotes the characteristic function of the observed data.

7 ECF for i.i.d. data revisited

In this section we give an extension of the ECF method for i.i.d. data under the assumption that the c.f. is not known explicitly, but we do have a computable random variable $\xi(\eta)$ such that

$$\varphi(u, \eta) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iu\xi(\eta)}\right].$$

More exactly, we assume that we have a mechanism to compute an i.i.d. sequence $\xi_n(\eta)$ given by

$$\xi_n(\eta) = F(\rho_n, \eta),$$

where ρ_n is an i.i.d. sequence that we can generate, and F is a known function of ρ and η, which is sufficiently smooth in η.

Let the true parameter be denoted by η^*, and let the observed sequence be

$$\xi^*_n = F(\rho^*_n, \eta^*),$$

where (ρ^*_n) is a realization of an i.i.d. sequence with given distribution. The problem is then to identify η^*. The purpose of this exercise is to understand

the problem if identifying the noise characteristic of a finite dimensional Lévy system under a simpler settings. An obvious candidate for a score function is now

$$h_n(u,\eta) = e^{iu\xi_n(\eta^*)} - e^{iu\xi(\eta)},$$

where $\xi_n(\eta^*)$ are real data and $\xi_n(\eta)$ are simulated data. Taking a finite set u-s, say $u_1,\ldots,u_M$, define

$$h_{k,n}(\eta) = e^{iu_k\xi_n(\eta^*)} - e^{iu_k\xi(\eta)}. \tag{27}$$

From here we may proceed like in Section 4 to define the quadratic cost function $V_N(\eta)$ and the corresponding objects $\overline{h}(\eta)$, its expected value $g(\eta)$ and $G = g_\eta(\eta^*)$. One could follow the line of reasoning presented in Section 4 and obtain that the asymptotic covariance for the estimated parameter $\hat{\eta}_N$ is

$$\Sigma'_{\eta\eta} = 2(G^*C^{-1}G)^{-1}, \tag{28}$$

where $C_{k,l}$ is defined in Section 4 and the k^{th} row of G is

$$-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}e^{iu_k\xi(\eta)}\right]\Big|_{\eta=\eta^*} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iu_k\xi(\eta)}\right]\Big|_{\eta=\eta^*} =$$
$$-\varphi_\eta(u_k,\eta^*).$$

For, computing the covariance of the scores gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\ h^*_{k,n}(\eta^*)h_{l,n}(\eta^*)\right] =$$
$$2(\varphi(u_k-u_l,\eta^*) - \varphi(u_k,\eta^*)\varphi(-u_l,\eta^*)) = 2C_{k,l}.$$

Comparing the variance of the ECF estimators for i.i.d. data yields the following result:

Theorem 7.1 *Denote the variance of the ECF estimator for i.i.d. data with known characteristic function presented in Section 4 by $\Sigma_{\eta\eta}$ and denote the variance of the estimator for i.i.d. data without known characteristic function (but with a computable random variable) by $\Sigma'_{\eta\eta}$. Then we have*

$$2\Sigma_{\eta\eta} = \Sigma'_{\eta\eta}.$$

This result shows the change in the variance of the estimates caused by the fact that the c.f. is unknown.

Let θ be a d-dimensional parameter vector.

Definition .1 *We say that $x_n(\theta)$ is M-bounded if for all $q \geq 1$,*

$$M_q(x) = \sup_{n>0,\theta\in D} \mathbb{E}^{1/q}\left|x_n(\theta)\right|^q < \infty$$

Define $\mathscr{F}_n = \sigma\left\{e_i : i \leq n\right\}$ and $\mathscr{F}_n^+ = \sigma\left\{e_i : i > n\right\}$ where e_i-s are i.i.d. random variables.

Definition .2 *We say that a stochastic process $(x_n(\theta))$ is L-mixing with respect to $(\mathscr{F}_n, \mathscr{F}_n^+)$ uniformly in θ if it is $\mathscr{F}_n$ progressively measurable, M-bounded with any positive r and*

$$\gamma_q(r,x) = \sup_{n\geq r, \theta\in D} \mathbb{E}^{1/q} \left| x_n(\theta) - \mathbb{E}\left[x_n(\theta)|\mathscr{F}_{n-r}^+\right]\right|^q,$$

we have for any $q \geq 1$,

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \gamma_q(r,x) < \infty.$$

Define

$$\Delta x/\Delta^\alpha \theta = |x_n(\theta+h) - x_n(\theta)| \,/\, |h|$$

for $n \geq 0, \theta \neq \theta + h \in D$.

Theorem .2 *Let $(u_n(\theta))$ be an L-mixing uniformly in $\theta \in D$ such that $\mathbb{E}u_n(\theta) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0, \theta \in D$, and assume that $\Delta u/\Delta\theta$ is also L-mixing uniformly in $\theta, \theta + h \in D$. Then*

$$\sup_{\theta\in D_0} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n(\theta) \right| = O_M(N^{-1/2}) \tag{29}$$

References

[1] Bachelier L. (1900). *Théorie de la spéculation.* Annales Scientifiques de l'école Normale Supérieure, 3 (17), pp. 21–86.

[2] Sato K. (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press

[3] Kingman J.F.C. (1993). *Poisson Processes.* Oxford studies in probability, Clarendon Press

[4] Benth F. E., Benth S. J. (2009). *Dynamic pricing of wind futures.* Energy Economics, 31, pp. 16-24.

[5] Miyahara Y., Novikov A. (2001). *Geometric Lévy Process Pricing Model.* Research Paper Series 66, Quantitative Finance Research Centre, University of Technology, Sydney

[6] Cont R., Tankov P. (2006). *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes.* Journal of the American Statistical Association, 101, pp. 1315-1316.

[7] Feuerverger A., McDunnogh P. (1981). *On the efficiency of empirical characteristic function procedures.* J.R. Stat. Soc. B, 43 (1), pp. 20–47.

[8] Carrasco M., Florens J.-P. (2002). *Efficient GMM estimation using the empirical characteristic function.* Idei working papers, 140

[9] Calder M., Davis R.A. (1997). *Inference for linear processes with stable noise.* A practical guide to heavy tails, Birkhauser Boston Inc., pp. 159–176.

[10] Gerencsér L. (1989). *On a class of mixing processes.* Stochastics, 26, pp. 165–191.

[11] Gerencsér L., Michaletzky Gy., Reppa Z. (2002). *A two-step maximum-likelihood identification of non-Gaussian systems.* Proceedings of the 15th IFAC World Congress, 15

[12] Brockwell P. J., Schlemm E. (2012). *Parametric estimation of the driving Lvy process of multivariate CARMA processes from discrete observations.* Journal of Multivariate Analysis

[13] Schlemm E., Stelzer R. (2012). *Quasi Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Strongly Mixing State Space Models and Multivariate CARMA Processes.* Electronic Journal of Statistics, 6, pp. 2185–2234.

[14] Gerencsér L., Mánfay M. (2013). *Identification of finite dimensional linear stochastic systems driven by Lévy processes.* Proceeding of European Control Conference, pp. 2415–2420.

[15] Govil M. K., Fu M. C. (1999). *Queueing theory in manufacturing: A survey.* Journal of manufacturing systems, 18, 214.

[16] Carr P., Geman H. , Madan D., Yor M. (2000). *The fine structure of asset returns: an empirical investigation.* Journal of Business, 75 (2), pp. 305-332.

[17] Mandelbrot B. (1963). *The Variation of Certain Speculative Prices.* Journal of Business, 35

[18] Madan B., Carr P., Chang C. (1998). *The Variance Gamma Process and Option Pricing.* European Finance Review, 2, pp. 79–105.

[19] Gerencsér L. (1990). *On the martingale approximation of the estimation error of ARMA parameters.* System & Control Letters, 15, pp. 417–423.

[20] Devroye L. (1986). *An Automatic Method for Generating Random Variates with a Given Characteristic FunctionAuthor.* SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 46 (4), pp. 698-719.

[21] Tien D.V. (2011). *Multi-Server Markov Queueing Models: Computational Algorithms and ICT Applications.* Dissertation for the Doctor degree of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences

[22] Knight J. L., J. Yu. (2002). *Empirical characteristic function in time series estimation.* Econometric Theory, 18 (03), pp. 691–721.

[23] Feuerverger A., McDunnogh P. (1981). *On some Fourier methods for inference.* Journal of the American Statistical Association, 76 (374), pp. 379–387.